EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The United States is Losing its Global Competitive Edge in Credential Attainment, Yet Investment Remains Stagnant

Ample evidence demonstrates that postsecondary credentials are a good investment for individuals, families and communities. Better educated workers earn higher wages and are more likely to be employed than less educated ones. Higher education levels also correlate with favorable social returns such as better health and higher rates of civic participation.

At the same time, experts project that the United States will not have enough credentialed workers to meet labor market demand or to remain globally competitive in the coming decade. A recent study based on Bureau of Labor Statistics data found that by 2018, more than two-thirds of the 47 million projected job openings will require some level of postsecondary education or training, including industry certification.¹

As other countries have increased their postsecondary attainment rates, the United States has fallen to 15th place among 34 Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) member countries in the percentage of 25 to 34-year olds with an Associate’s level college degree or higher.² Now, more than half of young adults in leading OECD countries – Canada, South Korea, and Japan – have college degrees compared to 41 percent in the United States, and these leading countries are on track to increase their college degree attainments rates to 60 percent by 2020. The United States must match this rate to maintain its global competitiveness and have a chance at leading the developed world in percent of skilled, educated workers.

At current rates of credential attainment, the United States will fall short by tens of millions of postsecondary credentials over the next couple of decades. This hard reality comes at a time when many federal policymakers are struggling to prioritize investments in postsecondary education and workforce development. For example, growing demand for Pell Grants is a good sign that more low-income students are accessing postsecondary education and, thereby, helping to meet national demand for postsecondary credentials. But many federal policymakers are aiming to cut the size of the program and cut grant amounts awarded to students. Funding for employment and training services as well as adult and technical education is also less, declining 15 percent in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2008 (adjusted for inflation).³

State funding for higher education, adult education and workforce development has also declined over the past few decades. The proportion of state budgets devoted to postsecondary education has fallen by more than 13 percent since 1990.⁴ State support for adult education, which can be an onramp for postsecondary education, has fallen significantly or been eliminated in the last few years as states take pains to balance postsecondary investments with
significant competing state priorities ranging from infrastructure to K-12 education, Medicaid and other programs.\(^5\)

**Underinvestment in Postsecondary Education Results in a Significant Credential Shortfall and Costs Billions**

Failure to prioritize investments in postsecondary education will leave states and the nation short millions of credentials in the coming decades and will cost the nation billions and each state millions of dollars. These conclusions are based on projections from an interactive online *Return on Investment Dashboard* developed by the Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) and the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS). The dashboard uses Census Bureau, National Center for Education Statistics, and Department of Education data to project the short- and long-term effects of either maintaining the status quo in postsecondary education participation and credential attainment or increasing investments.

The tool’s purpose is to help policymakers and advocates calculate the number of postsecondary credentials needed for each state and the nation to keep up with labor market demand and global competitors. Users can estimate the necessary increases in postsecondary participation and credential attainment to meet labor market demand and project economic returns to meeting credential goals. Expert analysis using this tool finds that the United States will need to produce about 24 million additional credentials by 2025 to keep pace with leading OECD countries and achieve a 60 percent degree attainment rate among adults ages 25 to 64. At current attainment rates, the U.S. is on track to produce 278,500 additional credentials by 2025—a significant shortfall.

Maintaining current credential attainment rates will mean billions in lost revenue and increased public expenditures. Average per capita personal income nationally will essentially remain flat through 2025. State revenues—derived from taxes on income, sales, and property—will plummet until 2017 while public expenditures on corrections and Medicaid will increase. By 2025, this downward trend may begin to reverse; however, billions of dollars will be left on the table in the intervening years. At current credential attainment rates, postsecondary costs outweigh all revenues, producing a negative return on investment.

**But, the United States Can Reverse this Trend and Generate Significant Revenues if the Nation as a Whole Matches the Top Performing States**

The United States can meet credential attainment goals by 2025 if the nation increases overall college participation rates and credential attainment rates to match the top three performing states. This would result in a projected additional 19 million Associate’s and Bachelor’s degrees and 6 million undergraduate certificates, for a total of 25 million undergraduate credentials. To meet the 60 percent credential attainment goal, some states will have to produce more than others. For example, states in the South and Southwest have larger credential gaps than states in the upper Midwest and New England.

This level of investment would produce significant economic returns to individuals, states, and the nation by 2025. Average annual per capita income would increase by approximately $1,400 by 2025. Federal revenue of $67 billion in 2025 would be about six times higher than the estimated postsecondary costs of $9.8 billion, and state revenue of $64 billion would be triple the estimated state postsecondary costs of $21 billion.
Tough Times Require Strategic Choices
Now more than ever, state and federal policymakers are challenged to use precious public tax dollars wisely. Four overarching policy considerations should guide policymakers and advocates as they explore postsecondary policy options to reverse the trend of disinvestment in postsecondary credential attainment. First, states are unlikely to meet the demand for postsecondary credentials without investing in adult students as well as younger students. Second, each state must improve postsecondary participation rates and credential attainment rates to meet credential goals—improving one or the other will not be enough. Third, a primary driver of the costs of credential attainment is the type of institution producing the credential; therefore, policymakers should consider which institutions can most cost-effectively produce the types of credentials needed. Fourth, no two states are the same in their cost and revenue structures; however, all states would see significant positive economic returns to meeting postsecondary credential attainment goals.

Overall, federal policymakers should avoid deep cuts to programs that help people gain postsecondary credentials such as the Pell Grant and Workforce Investment Act programs. It is especially important for federal policymakers to avoid specific cuts to the Pell Grant program that reduce eligibility or grant amounts for adult students, nontraditional students, and those in in-demand occupational programs. State policymakers should reverse the trend of disinvestment in public institutions of higher education and ensure that state student aid programs are adequately funded so states can increase credential attainment to meet demand.

Just as there are long-term economic benefits to investing in postsecondary credential attainment, there are long-term opportunity costs associated with cutting funding for postsecondary education. The bottom line is increasing credential attainment pays off. As policymakers face tough budget decisions over the coming months and years, they must consider not just immediate expenditures but also look at the future returns of investing today in increasing credential attainment.
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**Introduction: Postsecondary Credentials Are Good Investments**

With the shift in the global economy toward a demand for higher-order skills, the labor market maxim that “credentials count” is more relevant than ever, prompting economist Anthony Carnevale to refer to access to postsecondary education and training as the “arbiter of opportunity in America.”

Many studies have found a positive relationship between educational attainment and increased income, as well as between educational attainment and labor market mobility. Recent data from the Current Population Survey confirm that incomes and labor force participation rates rise with educational attainment. As figure 1 demonstrates, unemployment rates drop dramatically as educational attainment increases, from a high of 14.9 percent for those without a high school diploma to less than 2 percent for those with a doctorate. Similarly, median weekly earnings increase sharply with educational attainment, from a low of $444 for those without a high school diploma to a high of $1,610 for those with professional degrees (see Figure 1).

**Figure 1: Education Pays**

- **Unemployment rate in 2010 (%)**
  - Doctoral degree: 1.9
  - Professional degree: 2.4
  - Master’s degree: 4.0
  - Bachelor’s degree: 5.4
  - Associate degree: 7.0
  - Some college, no degree: 9.2
  - High school diploma: 10.3
  - Less than a high school diploma: 14.9  

- **Median weekly earnings in 2010 ($)**
  - Doctoral degree: 1,550
  - Professional degree: 1,610
  - Master’s degree: 1,272
  - Bachelor’s degree: 1,038
  - Associate degree: 757
  - Some college, no degree: 712
  - High school diploma: 626
  - Less than a high school diploma: 444

**Source:** Bureau of Labor Statistics, Current Population Survey

Evidence is also mounting that economic performance, whether at the national, state, or local level, improves as the percentage of a population with higher education increases. A recent study found that economic growth in metropolitan areas where less than 10 percent of adults hold college degrees averaged 13 percent between 1980 and 2000; it was around 45 percent in areas where at least 25 percent of adults hold such degrees. In fact, workers with postsecondary credentials earn more across nearly all occupations than do those with a high school diploma or less. This suggests that increasing the percentage of the population with postsecondary credentials would yield significant gains for the national economy. Moreover, countries with the highest percentages of the population enrolled in primary, secondary, and tertiary education are also those with the lowest income inequality.

In addition to these returns on postsecondary education, a 2007 College Board study found that higher levels of education are positively correlated with civic participation, including volunteer work and voting, as well as with...
better health. In a 2002 meta-analysis, economists Barbara Wolfe and Robert Haveman found that the social returns, including private and public returns, on investments in postsecondary education could be as high as 18 percent, a magnitude that few other public or private investments match. This leads Wolfe and Haveman to suggest that “a reallocation of resources from other uses to the education sector may be in order.”

New Tool Shows the Economic Value of Investments in Postsecondary Education to the Nation and Every State

With tight budgets at all levels, policymakers need accurate information to make tough choices about where to invest.

With severely limited state funding and mounting pressure to cut federal spending, policymakers need to make informed decisions about what investments in education yield the greatest benefits. Advocates of investments in postsecondary education face high hurdles even to convince policymakers to maintain current support for students who are seeking to earn postsecondary credentials. For example, a primary target for cuts is the Federal Pell Grant program, which helps makes postsecondary education and job training possible for nearly 10 million Americans. Also, federal funding for both employment and training services and adult and technical education was 15 percent lower in fiscal year 2012 compared to fiscal year 2008 (adjusted for inflation).

The proportion of state budgets devoted to postsecondary education has fallen by more than 13 percent since 1990. Also, state support for adult education – which can be an important onramp for postsecondary education – has fallen significantly in the last few years. Some states have cut millions from their adult education budgets, and others completely eliminated state funding for adult education.

The Return on Investment Dashboard is a new tool for education advocates and policymakers.

The Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) and the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS) have collaborated to develop the interactive, online Return on Investment Dashboard, designed to help state and federal policymakers and advocates calculate the economic benefits of increasing postsecondary education attainment. This tool makes it easy to estimate increases to individual income and state and federal government resulting from rising tax revenues, as well cost savings to states from reductions in expenditures for public health and corrections as incomes rise. Users can establish postsecondary credential targets by either setting a postsecondary completion goal, (e.g., 60 percent of adults will have a postsecondary credential) or simply noting the estimated numbers of various credentials needed to meet projected state demand. Once credential targets are set, users can use the tool to:

- Gauge the level of college participation and credential attainment needed to achieve state-specific targets for postsecondary completion.
- Identify the gap between current levels of postsecondary credential attainment (e.g., of one- or two-year certificates, Associate’s degrees, Bachelor’s degrees) and those needed to meet the targets.
- See approximations of the level of returns to the state and to individuals for meeting the targets.
- Calculate the effects of redistributing the enrollment patterns of additional first-time students generated by improving postsecondary access and completion rates (e.g., by type of institution) and approximate the level of economic returns under different scenarios.
Using this tool is similar to making decisions about a common type of personal investment: the 401(k) retirement account. At the simplest level, individuals make two basic decisions about a 401(k) plan. First, they decide how much to invest. Using the ROI Dashboard is similar: users can explore various levels of investment—as expressed in college participation and credential attainment rates. Holders of 401k accounts also decide how to allocate their investments across a variety of options. Similarly, user of the ROI Dashboard tool can weigh allocations to three areas: (1) postsecondary participation of different types of students; (2) credential production by different types of institution; and (3) traditional or nontraditional students at different types of institution.

Once these decisions are set, users can see the corresponding production of credentials as well as the economic returns, which are expressed as increased tax revenues and decreased public costs in select programs. Decisions about levels and allocations of investments can lead to dramatically different economic returns. (See the appendix for more information on the ROI Dashboard tool.)

This paper highlights key findings from using the tool to analyze federal and state data on postsecondary participation and credential attainment, as well as data on personal income and tax revenue. While outcomes vary by state, every state and the nation would see large public and private benefits from significantly increasing postsecondary access and completion rates by 2025.

**Continuing Current Trends in College Participation and Credential Attainment Will Leave the U.S. behind Economic Rivals and Fail to Meet Credential Demand**

Under the current investment patterns in college participation and credential attainment, the U.S. will fail to meet the number of credentials needed to be globally competitive and grow its economy. The investment patterns will also produce negative returns for individuals as well as state and federal revenues over the near and medium terms.

**The U.S. is falling behind other countries in degree attainment.**

In 2010, NCHEMS reported that the U.S. had slipped to 10th among the 34 OECD member countries in the percentage of 25- to 34-year-olds with college degrees. Since that report, the United States has slipped to 15th.\(^{13}\)

NCHEMS also reported that more than half of young adults in the leading countries (Canada, South Korea, and Japan) had degrees, compared with just 40 percent in the U.S. (41 percent in the latest OECD report), and the leading OECD countries were on a course to achieve a 60 percent attainment rate for this age group by 2020.\(^{14}\)

Given the relationships among educational attainment, employment, and economic growth, increasing attainment rates to that level would help to ensure that the U.S. remains competitive with the world’s leading economies.

**The U.S. needs millions more credentials in the coming decades to meet skill demands.**

Not only is the U.S. falling behind its competitors regarding postsecondary credential attainment, it also is struggling to meet domestic demand for skilled workers. The supply of workers with the technical skills afforded through postsecondary education falls short of demand for them, with potential implications for economic growth and equity. In part, this shortage is a result of demographic shifts: highly educated baby boomers are reaching retirement age, and the U.S. is not producing college-educated workers fast enough to replace them. This shortage is also due to the rising costs of postsecondary education and the suppressing effect of that on enrollments.
The trends are clear: employment opportunities for workers without a postsecondary credential are shrinking, while the demand for workers with postsecondary credentials is expanding. One recent study based on Bureau of Labor Statistics projections found that by 2018, more than two-thirds of the 47 million job openings will require some level of postsecondary education or training (including industry certification). Some 34 percent will require at least a Bachelor’s degree, while 30 percent will require some college or a two-year Associate’s degree. Only 36 percent of those 47 million jobs will be available to workers with a high school diploma or less. And as the education and skill requirements for good jobs rise, the economic inequity between those with and without postsecondary credentials will likely deepen.

The premiums paid to workers with postsecondary credentials, both in terms of higher income and greater job security, are indicators of the value the labor market places on these credentials. It is increasingly evident that the benefits that accrue to the individual and the broader economy merit increased state and federal investment in greatly expanding the number of individuals with postsecondary credentials.

**Continuing the status quo will not produce nearly enough individuals with postsecondary credentials for the U.S. to be globally competitive or to meet labor market demand.**

The CLASP-NCHEMS *ROI Dashboard* uses 2025 as the target year for its calculations, with a 60 percent attainment rate as the default target for all adult workers. To meet this target by 2025, the *ROI Dashboard* projects that an additional 24 million students must earn a postsecondary degree or certificate. This is an ambitious goal, particularly considering that the current postsecondary attainment rate among 25- to 64-year-olds is just 40 percent.

**Table 1**

| Current Investment Pattern in College Participation and Credential Attainment |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| High school graduation rate     | 70%      |
| High school-to-college-going rate | 63%      |
| 20- to 39-year-olds enrolled in college as first-time students | 1.08%    |
| Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students’ at 4-year public research universities (note: this is not a completion rate) | 22       |
| Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students’ at 4-year colleges (public Bachelor’s and Master’s degree-granting institutions) | 20       |
| Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students’ at public two-year colleges (e.g., community colleges) | 19       |
| Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students’ at private colleges (including private 2-year and 4-year colleges) | 24       |

*Note:* FTE is “full-time equivalent” and refers to the sum of all full-time students plus prorated totals of part-time students, e.g., 0.50 for each half-time student.

*Source:* Author calculation using the *CLASP-NCHEMS ROI Dashboard*.

Demographic trends compound this challenge. As demographic shifts contribute to declines in high school enrollment (using ninth-grade enrollment) between 2007 and 2011, the U.S. will experience corresponding declines in postsecondary degrees awarded until approximately 2016. These declines will result in about 278,500 new undergraduate credentials awarded by 2025—a significant shortfall from the millions of additional degrees the U.S. economy needs. Relatively modest gains in postsecondary degrees awarded will begin in 2017.
Current investment patterns will result in negative economic returns.

At the current rates of college participation and credential attainment, personal incomes and state and federal revenues will decline over the near term. Average per capita personal income nationally remains essentially flat to 2025 - it falls to $15 less per year by 2017 and inches up slightly to $14 more per year in 2025 (see Figure 2).

In addition, state revenues—composed of income taxes, sales taxes, and property taxes—will decline over this period, while expenditures on Medicaid and corrections will increase. The losses in state revenue, plus declines in personal income and in federal revenues contribute to an annual revenue loss of approximately $6 billion by 2017. Revenues begin to recover somewhat in 2018 (see Figure 3).

At the same time, federal costs (as measured by Pell Grant expenditures) and state costs (as measured by state and local appropriations for full-time equivalent students) will rise between 2015 and 2025 based on a modest increase in the number of students participating in college (see Figure 4). Under the status quo, federal and state costs will exceed revenues for each year between now and 2025 because the nation will not have robust enough credential attainment rates to increase revenues beyond the costs. Any potential return to investments in postsecondary education may occur beyond 2025—if at all at this rate.
In other words, the status quo is a recipe for significant losses in state and federal revenue over the near and medium terms, increased expenditures on Medicaid and corrections, and anemic progress toward producing the millions of postsecondary credentials the U.S. economy will need.

### Following the Lead of Top Performers, the U.S. and All States Can Meet the Credential Goal and Earn Significant Economic Benefits

The U.S. could meet credential goals and achieve significant economic returns—both to individual income and to state and federal revenues—if the performance of all states equaled the current average access and completion rates of today’s three highest-achieving states (the top performers vary by metric).

**The U.S. can meet the credential goals it needs to be competitive internationally and meet the labor market demand for skills.**

If the U.S. maintains its current enrollment patterns of first-time students but increases the college participation and credential attainment rates of all states to the current average of the three highest-achieving states, the country would achieve the 60 percent credential attainment rate by 2025.\(^7\) At this rate, the U.S. would produce approximately 25,635,000 credentials—more than needed to regain global credential competitiveness. To do this, all states would need to increase their rates to the higher values shown in Table 2.
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Investment Equal to Top Performing States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school graduation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school-to-college-going rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20- to 39-year-olds enrolled in college as first time students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at 4-year public research universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at 4-year colleges (public Bachelor’s and Master’s degree-granting institutions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at public 2-year colleges (e.g., community colleges)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at private colleges (including private 2-year and 4-year colleges)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *FTE is “full-time equivalent” and refers to the sum of all full-time students plus prorated totals of part-time students, e.g., 0.50 for each half-time student.

Source: Author calculation using the CLASP-NCHEMS ROI Dashboard.

The investment patterns of top-performing states yield significant economic returns.

Meeting targets for postsecondary credentials by 2025 would increase personal income per capita in the U.S. sharply. For example, by meeting the 60 percent goal, requiring 24 million new credentials, the average annual personal income increases by approximately $1,400 by 2025 (see Figure 5).

Looking at the total additional national revenue generated by achieving a 60 percent credential attainment rate by 2025, the U.S. could expect to see an additional $630 billion in revenue. Nearly $500 billion of this would come in the form of higher personal income, with the remainder roughly equally divided between state and federal tax revenues (see Figure 6).

Similarly, state revenues would increase significantly as well, raising approximately an additional $64 billion across all states by 2025. Of this revenue, $19.3 billion would come from increases in income tax revenue, $16.3 billion from property tax revenues, $14.5 billion in Medicaid savings, $9 billion in sales tax revenue, and $5 billion from reduced spending on corrections (see Figure 7).
Figure 6

Additional Revenues Generated Per Year - Federal, State, and Personal Income (2010 U.S. dollars)

- Federal Revenues
- State Revenues
- Personal Income (After Taxes)

Figure 7

Additional State Revenues Generated Per Year (2010 U.S. dollars)

- Corrections Savings
- Medicaid Savings
- Property Tax Revenues
- Sales Tax Revenues
- State Income Tax Revenues
To understand return on investment, both revenues and costs must be considered. For example, it will not be cheap to increase the high school-to-college-going rate from 63 percent to 75 percent and the percentage of working age adults enrolled in college from 1.08 percent to 1.4 percent. However, if all states matched the rate of the three highest-performing states by 2025, federal revenue of $67 billion in 2025 would significantly exceed federal costs of $9.8 billion. Similarly, state revenue would exceed $64 billion by 2025, compared with state costs of approximately $21 billion (see Figure 8).

**Figure 8**

![State and Federal Revenues vs Costs Generated Annually (2010 U.S. dollars)](image)

**No Two States are the Same, But All States See Significant Positive Economic Returns to More Postsecondary Attainment**

**States start in different places and face different economic challenges, so their postsecondary achievement gaps also differ.**

No two states face the same economic challenges, while rates of high school completion differ, as does support for postsecondary education. These characteristics imply different starting points, as well as different targets, in states’ efforts to increase postsecondary achievement rates.

Table 3 shows the wide variation among the highest- and lowest-performing states in terms of enrollment and degrees awarded per 100 FTE students. As noted, if the U.S. is to close the credential gap between our current rates and those achieved by our economic competitors, as well as to meet labor market demand for credentials, substantial progress will need to be made, especially by those states that currently are lagging.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Average of the Bottom 3 States</th>
<th>National Average</th>
<th>Average of the Top 3 States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school graduation rate</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school-to-college-going rate</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20- to 39-year-olds enrolled in college as first-time students</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>1.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at 4-year public research universities</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at 4-year colleges (public Bachelor's and Master's degree institutions)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at public 2-year colleges (e.g., community colleges)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate credentials produced per 100 FTE students at private colleges (including private 2-year and 4-year colleges)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: FTE is “full-time equivalent” and refers to the sum of all full-time students plus prorated totals of part-time students, e.g., 0.50 for each half-time student.

Source: Author calculation using the CLASP-NCHEMS ROI Dashboard.

While outcomes depend on each state’s tax structure, every state will see significant and positive returns from substantially increasing postsecondary attainment.

Tax policy plays a highly significant role in terms of the return on investments in increasing enrollments and credential attainment. Those states without personal income taxes18 reap lower returns on their investments in creating a more highly educated population because much of the economic return comes from higher income-tax revenue. However, every state would see substantial returns to personal income and state and federal revenue from increased postsecondary enrollment and attainment rates, and nearly all states would see state and federal revenue exceeding costs if they were to achieve a 60 percent postsecondary credential attainment rate by 2025.

Policy Considerations

This paper highlights key findings from using the CLASP-NCHEMS ROI Dashboard tool to analyze the economic payoffs of meeting national and state targets for postsecondary credential attainment. Creating a plan to meet these targets requires many decisions about postsecondary policy. Using the ROI Dashboard, policymakers and advocates can explore a variety of decisions and see the estimated returns to various investment strategies. As users explore policy options using this tool, a few key themes will emerge for policymakers and advocates to keep in mind as they propose recommendations.

Policy Consideration #1: States are unlikely to meet the demand for postsecondary credentials without investing in adults in addition to younger students.

Whether we approach the demand for credentials through the 60 percent credential attainment goal or through quantifying labor market demand, it is virtually impossible to achieve the targets without greater investments in adults who are seeking credentials. Without this, states would have to significantly exceed current top-performing
states in the percentage of high school graduates going straight to college. This situation is due to demographics: the number of high school graduates in the U.S. will be flat over the next decade, while demand for credentials will continue to rise. Adults gaining education and credentials will be critical to filling the gap. All but a few states face a similar demographic challenge or are in a worse position, with falling numbers of high school graduates, as shown in Figure 9.

Therefore, policymakers should maintain or, ideally, increase investments in federal and state programs that help adults attain credentials, including workforce training programs and adult education programs focused on postsecondary credentials and careers. In terms of postsecondary policy, states should view adult students who are pursuing postsecondary credentials as college students, and they must maintain their access to student aid (e.g., Pell Grants) and postsecondary programs.

**Figure 9: Projected Change between 2010 and 2020 in Total High School Graduates, by State**

![Map showing projected change in total high school graduates by state between 2010 and 2020.](image)

**Source:** Knocking at the College Door, Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (2008)

**Policy Implication #2: To satisfy the demand for credentials, each state must improve both its postsecondary participation rate and its credential attainment rate.**

Focusing on one or the other will not produce the number of credentials needed. Investments in participation are critical to producing an adequate pool of students, but investing in participation alone simply drives up the costs of postsecondary education. Credential attainment produces economic returns, so policymakers must also make investments in postsecondary completion.
When considering economic returns to postsecondary investments, it is important to consider the costs of credential production. Postsecondary institutions have different cost structures, and these affect the economic return. Policymakers should carefully consider the types of credentials in demand over the coming years, as well as which types of institution can produce those credentials most cost effectively. This analysis should inform the postsecondary investment strategy.

There is wide variation in state levels of college participation and credential attainment. Additionally, state tax structures and public benefit systems and policies are not the same (e.g., a handful of states have no state income taxes, which results in lower but still positive economic returns to increasing postsecondary credentials). Policymakers should deliberately review their states’ current rates of postsecondary participation and credential attainment as well as current allocations of investment across different types of students and institutions. Using this baseline, policymakers can use the ROI Dashboard tool to estimate numbers of credentials produced and the economic returns to various postsecondary reform strategies. This will yield a tailored postsecondary investment plan that is realistic and appropriate for the state.

State and federal policymakers are challenged to use precious public tax dollars wisely. Just as there are long-term economic benefits to investing in postsecondary credential attainment, there are long-term costs associated with cutting funding for postsecondary education. None of this is easy. We urge federal policymakers to avoid deep cuts to programs that help people gain postsecondary credentials, including the Pell Grant program and the Workforce Investment Act. Federal policymakers also should avoid cutting Pell Grants for adult students and those in occupational programs that will be in high-demand into the future.

We urge state policymakers to reverse the long-term trend of disinvestment in public institutions of higher education and to ensure that state student aid programs are adequately funded to meet credential demands. Also, state policymakers should increase state investments in adult education and workforce education and training and focus these investments on helping all workers—including those with lower skill levels—attain in-demand credentials.

Investments in credential attainment pay off. As policymakers face tough budget decisions over the coming months and years, it is critical that they consider not just immediate expenditures but also future returns on today’s investments in credential attainment. Policymakers are stewards of the national and state economies, and their decisions about postsecondary investments will make a great difference in strengthening or weakening our collective economic future.
Appendix: Return on Investment Dashboard

Available at: www.clasp.org/ROIdashboard
Instructions
The following are suggested steps for implementing the Return on Investment Dashboard.

1. (Optional first step) In the upper-right corner of the Increase College Attainment tab, set a college attainment goal for the year 2025, based on a specific credential attainment target or a target driven by labor market analyses. Doing so will change the additional number of degrees needed to meet the goal. The default setting is 60 percent. Directly below are figures for the number of additional credentials produced by 2025, broken down by certificates and Associate’s and Bachelor’s degrees. The U.S. and all states have improved their college attainment rates since 2000; these improvements are projected out to 2025.

2. On the Increase College Attainment tab, choose different scenarios for increasing college degree production by moving the levers on the dashboard. There are two sets of measures that enable you to gauge the additional college credentials produced. The first set measures the additional credentials produced as a result of improving the rates of high school graduation and college access. The second set measures additional credentials produced as a result of changes in college completion rates by type of institution.

3. Assess the impact of redistributing the first-time enrollment patterns of the additional students generated by improving college participation and completion. For instance, some states may seek to expand enrollment in the community college system while limiting enrollment growth in four-year institutions.

4. After modifying the dashboard figures in Tab 1, review the returns on investment in Tab 2. The costs to the states are in current dollars per full-time equivalent student, assuming constant state funding per student through 2025. The returns reflect additional revenues to individuals, the state, and the federal government.
General Model Assumptions and Data Sources

The chart below summarizes the assumptions that underpin the model for the ROI Dashboard. It also describes the metrics, calculations, and sources for the data used in the model.

### Table 4: General Model Assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>The model assumes linear progress toward all 2025 goals/targets—incremental improvements rather than all at once.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>The model includes projections of high school graduates and young adults aged 20 to 39 to the year 2025. This leads to declines in degree production (at current levels of performance) in states that have projected declines in population and vice versa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>The projected personal earning gains associated with increases in educational attainment assume the same level of gains current residents experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>All numbers expressed in currency are in 2010 dollars.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Increasing College Attainment Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Calculations</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>College Attainment of 25- to 64-Year-Olds</td>
<td>Percent of 25- to 64-year-olds with Associate’s degrees and higher</td>
<td>U.S. Census Bureau, 2009 American Community Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduation Rate</td>
<td>High school graduates as a percent of ninth graders four years earlier</td>
<td>NCES, Common Core Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College-going Rate Directly Out of High School</td>
<td>Fall first-time students directly out of high school (within the past year) as a percent of recent high school graduates (the previous spring)</td>
<td>NCES, Common Core Data and IPEDS Fall Residency and Migration Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation Rate of 20- to 39-Year-Olds</td>
<td>Fall first-time students not directly out of high school as a percentage of 20- to 39-year-olds</td>
<td>NCES, IPEDS Fall Residency and Migration Survey; U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Credentials Awarded per 100 FTE Undergraduates</td>
<td>Undergraduate credentials (certificates, Associates, and Bachelor's) awarded per 100 full-time equivalent undergraduates</td>
<td>NCES, IPEDS Completions and Enrollment Surveys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: Return on Investment Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Calculations</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Income</td>
<td>Annual earnings by level of education (difference in wages from high school diploma and less, and certificates, Associate’s and Bachelor's degrees) multiplied by the additional number of college degree holders generated in the model</td>
<td>U.S. Census Bureau, 2009 American Community Survey (Public Use Microdata Sample)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Costs for Postsecondary Education</td>
<td>State and local expenditures per FTE student, by sector (public research, public Bachelor’s and Master’s, public 2-year, and private institutions)</td>
<td>NCES, IPEDS Finance and Enrollment Surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Income Tax Revenues</td>
<td>Average state income tax liability (after credits) per resident by level of education attained—difference between those with college degrees and those without (applied to the additional numbers of college degree holders generated by the model)</td>
<td>2008-2010 Current Population Surveys—Public Use Microdata Samples (downloaded from IPUMS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>Calculations</td>
<td>Sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Sales Tax Revenues</td>
<td>(Total general sales tax generated as a percent of total personal income) multiplied by (additional income generated in the model)</td>
<td>U.S. Census Bureau, <em>State Government Tax Collections Summary Report: 2010</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Property Tax Revenues</td>
<td>Average property income tax liability (after credits) per resident by level of education attained—difference between those with college degrees and those without (applied to the additional numbers of college degree holders generated by the model)</td>
<td>2008-2010 Current Population Surveys—Public Use Microdata Samples (downloaded from IPUMS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Medicaid Savings</td>
<td>Percent of 25- to 64-year-olds covered by Medicaid (and the mean person market value of those covered) by level of education attained—difference between those with college degrees and those without (applied to the additional numbers of college degree holders generated by the model)</td>
<td>2008-2010 Current Population Surveys—Public Use Microdata Samples (downloaded from IPUMS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections Savings</td>
<td>(Probability of incarceration among college degree holders minus probability of incarceration among adults with high school diplomas and less) multiplied by (additional college degree holders generated by the model) multiplied by (state prison expenditures per prisoner) Note: The U.S. educational attainment rates for prisoners was applied to all states.</td>
<td>National Association of State Budget Officers, <em>State Expenditure Report Fiscal Year 2009</em>; Bureau of Justice Statistics, <em>Prisoners in 2009</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Pell Grant Costs for Postsecondary Education</td>
<td>Total Pell Grants per undergraduate student—by sector (public research, public Bachelor's and Master's, public 2-year, and private institutions)</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Education, 2009-10 Federal PELL Grant End of Year Report (Table 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Income Tax Revenues</td>
<td>Average federal income tax liability (after credits) per resident by level of education attained—difference between those with college degrees and those without (applied to the additional numbers of college degree holders generated by the model)</td>
<td>2008-2010 Current Population Surveys—Public Use Microdata Samples (downloaded from IPUMS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Medicare Savings</td>
<td>Percent of 25- to 64-year-olds covered by Medicare (and the mean person market value of those covered) by level of education attained—difference between those with college degrees and those without (applied to the additional numbers of college degree holders generated by the model)</td>
<td>2008-2010 Current Population Surveys—Public Use Microdata Samples (downloaded from IPUMS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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