

December 11, 2017

The Honorable Virginia Foxx
Chair, House Education and Workforce Committee
U.S. House of Representatives
2176 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Robert "Bobby" Scott
Ranking Member, House Education and Workforce Committee
U.S. House of Representatives
2101 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Re: In Opposition to H.R. 4508, Promoting Real Opportunity, Success, and Prosperity Through Education Reform (PROSPER Act)

Dear Chairwoman Foxx and Ranking Member "Bobby" Robert Scott:

We at the Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) write to express our strong opposition to H.R. 4508, the PROSPER Act. As you consider Higher Education Act (HEA)'s reauthorization, we urge you to focus on key principles critical for the success of low-income students: affordability, equity and connecting students to work and careers.

In tomorrow's markup, we ask that you adopt federal higher education policies that are in the best interests of low-income students, students of color, adult learners, and returning college students. As you know, 51 percent of undergraduates are independent; 40 percent are adults ages 25 and older; 27 percent work full time; and 26 percent are parents. Now more than ever, millions of low-income students struggle to afford the cost of college and to make ends meet. Many work part-time and full-time jobs while they pursue a postsecondary education or credential. Others are student parents who juggle work and family responsibilities while attending school. Given these realities, we must underscore our concerns with the bill's following provisions:

Affordability. The PROSPER Act would make college significantly less affordable for low-income students. A glaring omission from the bill is annual increases in Pell Grants tied to inflation. Without such increases, Pell Grants will effectively decrease year-over-year, putting low-income students even further behind. Already, the maximum Pell Grant (\$5,920) covers less than 30 percent of the average cost of college attendance.²

The bill eliminates Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (SEOG)—a program that provides over \$730 million to low-income students. It also reduces the overall funding levels for several HEA programs, limiting what could be spent on them in the future. Moreover, the PROSPER Act imperils crucial funding for minority-serving institutions (MSIs), singling out these schools for possible cuts through a performance-based funding scheme tied to completion rates.³ Federal data is only available for certain student types (such as first-time, full-time students). Consequently, the data is incomplete and does not capture the true performance of students at these institutions.

The bill eliminates financial aid for students who cannot remain on pace to complete an Associate's degree in at least 3 years or a bachelor's degree in at least 6 years—ignoring the needs of working adult students and those with family obligations. This change would have been made worse had the bill revised the definition of full-time enrollment to 15 credits per semester. The bill also proposes harmful changes to the Ability to Benefit (ATB) provision by removing the requirement for enrollment in career pathway—which provides contextualized education and supportive services essential for postsecondary success by those without a high school diploma—and by limiting the flexibility of states and institutions to determine student eligibility for aid through ATB.

Finally, the bill eliminates the repayment and loan forgiveness options that student borrowers have under current law. For instance, the bill newly requires lower-income students to pay the interest on their loans while they are in school (which would increase a borrower's overall loan balance). It also eliminates loan forgiveness for borrowers who work in low-wage public service careers. And it provides low-income and distressed borrowers a new, less supportive income-based repayment plan. The National Consumer Law Center estimated that under this plan, low-income borrowers with \$30,000 of debt would need 138 years to repay their student loans, further impairing mobility and exacerbating racial wealth gaps. The plan also forces even the lowest-income borrowers to pay a minimum of \$25 per month rather than the current \$0.60.

Equity. This bill fails to promote equity in postsecondary education. In addition to the proposed threat to funding for MSIs, GEAR-UP, and HEP-CAMP and changes to federal programs like TRIO that support low-income students and students of color, the bill would curtail the Department of Education's (ED) ability to enforce laws that promote equity and fairness and protect students from physical or financial harm. The bill prohibits the Secretary of Education from exercising her critical oversight authority. This handcuffs ED's ability to react to problems as they arise, provide transparency about data and processes, and put forward needed regulations and guidance. As we have also expressed in our related concerns with the Perkins Act, federal oversight is critical to promoting equity and civil rights in postsecondary education. To further expand educational opportunity to vulnerable student populations, the bill could have also restored Pell eligibility for incarcerated individuals, called for removing questions regarding prior drug convictions on the FAFSA, and allowed Dreamers to access federal financial aid. Unfortunately, it failed do so.

The PROSPER Act removes the 90/10 rule, which prohibits for-profit educational institutions from getting more than 90 percent of their revenue from federal financial aid. The act would also eliminate critical regulations (such as those related to gainful employment and borrower defense) that protect students from institutions that exploit them for their financial aid, often leaving them with worse outcomes than before they enrolled.

Moreover, the bill allows short-term training programs—those providing as little as 8 credit hours of instruction over 10 weeks' time—to be eligible for financial aid. Such short-term credentials can be part of a career pathway that enables workers to advance; when designed properly, federal financial aid for such programs could expand economic opportunities, as CLASP noted in our support for the bipartisan JOBS Act. But the PROSPER Act takes a severely flawed approach that eliminates safeguards under the current rules and fails to require that these programs be connected with career pathways, that they meet completion or job placement standards, or that they provide the supports needed for student success. By eliminating guardrails, unscrupulous institutions will have a new avenue to focus their recruitment on students of color (particularly women of color) and low-income students, leaving them with worthless credentials and undischargeable debt.

Connecting Students to Work and Careers. The PROSPER Act proposes a new HEA Title II focused on "Expanding Access to In-Demand Apprenticeships." This proposal would authorize a needed reinvestment—which has been missing since the federal Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training (TAACCCT) Grants expired in 2016—in workforce training at postsecondary institutions. Despite the name, the proposed law is not focused on registered apprenticeships; rather it is more properly described as offering support for work- based learning more generally. While new federal funding for "earn-and-learn" programs is welcome, such a program should connect with, rather than duplicate and potentially undermine, the comprehensive work-based learning initiatives designed by employers, unions, and the workforce development system. In addition, policymakers should specify quality standards that avoid inadequate oversight by accreditation agencies in career-focused postsecondary programs.⁹

While the bill would increase funding for work-study above current levels, the PROSPER Act also proposes to cut the federal share of funding for each work-study activity, disinvesting in a key support for students who combine work with their postsecondary education.

The bill includes proposals to provide new information that helps students make informed financial decisions before embarking on postsecondary education. However, this data would only capture students who had received federal student aid, thus providing an incomplete picture of earnings students can expect to achieve after completion.

In our view, the PROSPER Act signifies a missed opportunity to improve the HEA for low-income students. In its current form, this bill neglects to address any of the issues that are most important for student success: affordability, equity, and improved connections to work and careers. With this in mind, we urge Members of Congress on both sides of the aisle to work together to produce a student-focused HEA reauthorization bill that addresses the key principles outlined above.

Should you have questions regarding this matter, please contact Rosa M. García at (202) 906-8058 or by email at **rgarcia@clasp.org**.

Sincerely,

Rosa M. García Senior Policy Analyst Center for Postsecondary and Economic Success

Lauren Walizer
Senior Policy Analyst
Center for Postsecondary and Economic Success

Endnotes

https://www.clasp.org/publications/fact-sheet/college-students-arent-who-you-think-they-are.

https://www.insidehighered.com/quicktakes/2017/07/19/graduation-rates-minority-serving-institutions.

https://www.clasp.org/publications/fact-sheet/college-students-arent-who-you-think-they-are.

¹ "College Students Aren't Who You Think They Are," Center for Law and Social Policy, June 2017.

² "Pell Grants Help Keep College Affordable for Millions of Americans," The Institute for College Access and Success, June 2017. https://ticas.org/sites/default/files/pub_files/overall_pell_one-pager.pdf.

³ Paul Fain, "Graduation Rates at Minority-Serving Institutions," Inside Higher Ed, July 2017.

⁴ "College Students Aren't Who You Think They Are," Center for Law and Social Policy, June 2017.

⁵ "Resources on Ability to Benefit," Center for Law and Social Policy. https://www.clasp.org/resources-ability-benefit.

⁶ Persis Yu, "House Education Bill Ends Key Student Protections that Will Lead to a Lifetime of Debt," National Consumer Law Center," December 2017. https://www.nclc.org/media-center/house-edu-bill-ends-student-protections-lifetime-debt.html.

⁷ Anna Cielinski, Lauren Walizer, Judy Mortrude, and Andrea Amaechi, *CLASP Comments on the proposed reauthorization of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006*, Center for Law and Social Policy, April 2017. https://www.clasp.org/sites/default/files/publications/2017/04/CLASP-Perkins-Letter-Alexander.pdf.

⁸ Katherine Saunders, "Strengthening the "Work" in the Federal Work-Study Program: A New Brief on Improving Access to Financial Aid and Career-Related Work Experience for Low-Income Students," Center for Law and Social Policy, January 2015. https://www.clasp.org/blog/strengthening-%E2%80%9Cwork%E2%80%9D-federal-work-study-program-new-brief-improving-access-financial-aid-and.

⁹ "Trump Order Weakens Apprenticeship System, Slashes Funding for Workforce Training," Center for Law and Social Policy, June 2017. https://www.clasp.org/blog/trump-order-weakens-apprenticeship-system-slashes-funding-workforce-training.